Molecular architecture of the vesicular stomatitis virus RNA polymerase
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Nonsegmented negative-strand (NNS) RNA viruses initiate infection by delivering into the host cell a highly specialized RNA synthesis machine comprising the genomic RNA completely encapsidated by the viral nucleocapsid protein and associated with the viral polymerase. The catalytic core of this protein–RNA complex is a 250-kDa multifunctional large (L) polymerase protein that contains enzymatic activities for nucleotide polymerization as well as for each step of mRNA cap formation. Working with vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV), a prototype of NNS RNA viruses, we used negative stain electron microscopy (EM) to obtain a molecular view of L, alone and in complex with the viral phosphoprotein (P) cofactor. EM analysis, combined with proteolytic digestion and deletion mapping, revealed the organization of L into a ring domain containing the RNA polymerase and an appendage of three globular domains containing the cap-forming activities. The capping enzyme maps to a globular domain, which is juxtaposed to the ring, and the cap methyltransferase maps to a more distal and flexibly connected globule. Upon P binding, L undergoes a significant rearrangement that may reflect an optimal positioning of its functional domains for transcription. The structural map of L provides new insights into the interrelationship of its various domains, and their rearrangement on P binding that is likely important for RNA synthesis. Because the arrangement of conserved regions involved in catalysis is homologous, the structural insights obtained for VSV L likely extend to all NNS RNA viruses.
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Nonsegmented negative-strand (NNS) RNA viruses initiate infection by delivering into the host cell a highly specialized RNA synthesis machine. This machine consists of a ribonucleoprotein complex (RNP) comprising the genomic RNA completely coated by the viral nucleocapsid (N) protein and associated with the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRP) complex (1). The catalytic core of the RNP is a single large (L) 250-kDa protein that contains enzymatic activities for nucleotide polymerization, mRNA cap addition, cap methylation, and polyadenylation (2–6). The location of all of the enzymatic activities necessary for transcription within a single polypeptide chain contrasts with the arrangement exhibited by the host cell and many other viruses, in which the different activities reside within separate proteins that assemble into a larger transcription complex (7–10).

Our understanding of the different activities of NNS RNA virus L proteins has been largely shaped by studies of vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) because it is the only member of this order of viruses for which robust transcription can be reconstituted in vitro. The enzymatic activities of VSV L have been mapped at the single amino acid level. Within the primary sequence of L are six conserved regions (CRs I–VI) shared among all NNS RNA virus L proteins (8). The RdRP activity maps to CRIII (3), and it is also required for polyadenylation, which occurs through polymerase slippage on a template U tract (5). The capping activities of L differ from those of other viruses and their eukaryotic hosts. Specifically, an RNA:GDP polyribonucleotidyltransferase (PRNTase) activity present within CRV transfers 5′ monophosphate RNA onto a GDP acceptor through a covalent L–pRNA intermediate (4, 6). The resulting mRNA cap is subsequently modified by a dual specificity methyltransferase (MTase) activity within CRVI whereby ribose 2′-O methylation precedes and facilitates subsequent guanine-N-7 (G-N-7) methylation (2, 9).

The location of the nucleotide polymerization, capping, and cap methylation activities within separate regions of L (Fig. L4) has led to the notion that L may be organized as a series of independent structural domains. Consistent with this idea, a C-terminal fragment of Sendai virus L retains the ability to G-N-7 methylate RNA in vitro (10). These domains, however, influence one another functionally, because failure to cap the nascent RNA chain results in the premature termination of transcription, and blocking methylation results in hyperpolyadenylation (11). These latter observations demonstrate that the 5′ mRNA processing activities of L intimately regulate its nucleotide polymerization activity and suggest that the 3D arrangement of the functional domains likely serves a key regulatory role during RNA synthesis.

The template for RNA synthesis in NNS RNA viruses is not naked RNA, but is instead a protein–RNA complex in which an oligomer of N protein completely coats the template. The atomic structure of the VSV N–RNA complex showed that the bases are sequestered between two lobes of each N molecule (12). During RNA synthesis, N must therefore be displaced for the polymerase to copy the RNA. L alone is not capable of copying the N–RNA template, but requires a viral cofactor, the phosphoprotein (P). P is an oligomeric protein that contains three domains: an N-terminal domain (PNTD) that binds to L, a central oligomerization domain, and a C-terminal domain (PCTD) that binds the N–RNA template (13). These domains of P are separated from one another by flexible linkers. The structure of PCTD bound to an N–RNA complex revealed that P binds adjacent N molecules (14). The binding of L to P forms the RdRP complex and is thought to help position L to gain access to the RNA bases of the N–RNA template. Therefore, the active machine for RNA synthesis is a higher order protein–RNA complex within which the L catalytic core plays a central role.

To understand how the different functions of L are arranged within the overall architecture of the protein, we expressed and purified full-length and truncation mutants of L and visualized their structure by negative stain electron microscopy (EM). The results show that the RdRP of L resides within a ring-like domain that is similar to the overall architecture of other RdRPs (15). A set of flexible globular domains, which are appended to this ring, contain the capping machinery. In an L–P complex, the organization of the
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domains of L is altered. This work provides structural insights into the L protein of an NNS RNA virus and offers a framework for understanding the coordination of the enzymatic activities of L within structurally distinct but functionally coordinated domains.

Results

Molecular Architecture of VSV L. To determine the overall architecture of L, we expressed and purified the protein as previously described (4). When combined with separately purified P and N-RNA template (Fig. 1B), the purified L catalyzed the synthesis of the five full-length viral mRNAs in vitro (Fig. 1C). The transcripts contained a methylated cap structure as shown by the release of 7mGp by full-length viral mRNAs in vitro (Fig. 1).

Mapping the Activities of L to the Domains of the Protein. As a first step toward defining the regions of L that constitute the appendage and ring-like structures, we performed limited proteolysis of L. Trypsin cleavage of L resulted in the release of a major fragment (F1) and two minor fragments (F2 and F3), each of which retained the N terminus (Fig. 2A). Estimation of the size of those fragments indicated that trypsin cleaved at the end of the long variable region between CRV and CRVI (F1), between CRIV and CRV (F2), and between CRIII and CRV (F3). The resulting fragments separated the CRs of L that contain the polymerase, capping, and cap methylation activities, supporting the idea that the CRs map to distinct structural domains. Guided by these results, as well as by three-dimensional reconstructions calculated for several of the classes using the particle images from the tilted specimen confirmed the features seen in the projection averages but did not provide further insights due to the limited resolution of the density maps (Fig. 2A).

We noticed that ~10% of the L particles showed two L molecules in contact with each other. To assess whether the two L proteins in these “double particles” make specific interactions, we selected 1,099 particles and classified them into 10 classes (Fig. 2C). The resulting class averages clearly resolved the two rings but not the appendages, suggesting that L has a tendency to dimerize, but not through highly specific interactions.

Therefore, the EM images of L provide evidence for the presence of four distinct structural domains: a core ring domain, decorated by an appendage that itself comprises three globular domains. Because RdRP molecules often adopt a ring-like conformation (15), we hypothesized that the RdRP activity of L would reside within the ring domain and that the capping enzymes would likely be localized within the appendage.

![Figure 1: Functional and structural organization of VSV L. (A) A schematic of the linear map of VSV L depicts the six conserved regions (CR I–VI) among NNS virus L proteins as white boxes separated by variable regions shown in black. The RNA polymerization, cap addition, and cap methylation activities have been mapped to CRIII, CRV, and CRVI, respectively. (B) Purification of recombinant RNP components. L and P were individually expressed and purified, and N-RNA was purified from recombinant VSV as previously described (4). Proteins were analyzed by 10% SDS/PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue. M, molecular weight marker. (C) Reconstitution of RNA synthesis from purified N-RNA, P, and L. Transcription reactions were performed in the presence of [a-32P] GTP and the products analyzed by electrophoresis on acid-agarose gels. The identity of the five VSV mRNAs P, M (matrix), N, G (glycoprotein), and L is shown at Right. (D) Analysis of the mRNA cap structure. Transcription reactions were performed as in C in the absence or presence of the MTase inhibitor S-adenosyl homocysteine (SAH). The RNA products were digested with TAP and the products resolved by TLC. The mobilities of Gp and 7mGp are indicated at Right. (E) EM characterization of L. The 10 presented class averages show the ring-shaped core domain and illustrate the structural variability of the appendage. (Scale bar: 20 nm.)

![Figure 2: The structural domains of VSV L. (A) Limited proteolysis of L. Purified L was digested with trypsin, before separation by 6% SDS/PAGE. The cleavage products were detected using an anti-His antibody that recognizes the N-terminal tag. Fragments with intact N termini were selected for further analysis and identified as F1, F2, and F3. (B) Fragments corresponding to those released by trypsin digestion (1–1,593 for F1, 1–1,114 for F2, and 1–860 for F3) and a complementary fragment to F1 (1,594–2,109) were designed with an N-terminal 6x His tag, expressed and purified. The purified proteins were separated by 10% SDS/PAGE and visualized by Coomassie blue. (C) Electron microscopic characterization of the L fragments. The class averages show the structural features of the various L fragments. (Scale bar: 20 nm.)

sequence conservation, hydrophobicity profile and secondary structure predictions, we separately expressed and purified amino acids 1–1,593, 1–1,114, and 1–860 as the approximate equivalents to F1, F2, and F3, respectively. We also expressed amino acids 1,594–2,109 as the complementary fragment to F1. The mobility of those purified recombinant proteins on SDS/PAGE was consistent with that of the corresponding tryptic fragments (Fig. 2B). Additional fragments of L were also designed and tested for expression, but yielded no or low levels of soluble protein and were not pursued further (Table S1).

To determine which parts of L comprise its different structural features, we examined the above fragments by EM and calculated 50 class averages for each (Fig. S3, representative class averages are shown in Fig. 2C). EM analysis revealed that amino acids 1–1,593 comprise the ring and one of the globules of the appendage, and 1,594–2,109 form the complementary two globules. The appearance of the two fragments combined resembles that of the two distal globules provided by 1,594–2,109, which adopted a similar 90–100 Å ring. In some classes, however, the ring formed by 1–1,114 adopted a slightly more open or less compact conformation (average images 4 and 5), suggesting that the C-terminal regions of L may contribute to the stability of the ring and provide important connections with the appendage. Further truncation of L to residue 860, which still retains the RdRP motif, resulted in an open C-like structure, demonstrating that CRIV forms a key structural component of the ring domain. The ring is thus demarcated by the N-terminal region of L spanning CRI–CRIV.

As residues 1–1,593 contain the ring as well as a globule from the appendage, and 1–1,114 contains only the ring, it appears that the capping enzyme (CRV) is part of the globular appendage. The size of this globular domain does not appear large enough, however, to account for the entire 480-residue difference between the two fragments. We favor the hypothesis that CRV (residues 1,069–1,305) constitutes the globule and that the variable region between CRV and CRVI (1,306–1,593) constitutes a largely unstructured hinge region that is not readily discernible by negative stain EM. Such a hinge region could also account for the variable orientation of the two distal globules provided by 1,594–2,109 in full-length L (Fig. 1E). Residues 1,594–2,109 encompass the methyltransferase CRV1 (1,640–1,835), and a more variable C-terminal region (1,836–2,109). It is likely, therefore, that each forms an independent globular domain, which would account for the two globules visible for this fragment.

Taken together, these data define the general boundaries of the structural domains of L as an RdRP-containing ring domain extending from the N terminus through the end of CRIV, and an appendage extending from CRV through the C terminus. The appendage can be further delineated as a set of three globular domains that comprise a capping (CRV), a cap methylating (CRVI), and a C-terminal domain.

Overall Architecture of L Is Critical for Full Function. As residues 1–1,593 and 1,594–2,109 fold independently, and together constitute the full-length protein, we tested whether the fragments could complement one another functionally. Equimolar amounts of the two fragments did not reconstitute RNA synthesis in vitro (Fig. 3A). However, this experiment did not permit us to differentiate between a loss of recruitment to the N–RNA template and a loss of catalytic activity of the fragments. Because template association requires P binding, we next tested whether the fragments retained the ability to bind P. Using a fully functional variant of P, in which the N terminus is tagged with green fluorescent protein (eGFP) (17), we tested its ability to associate with L using a coprecipitation assay. Full-length L efficiently coprecipitated eGFP–P, whereas fragments 1–1,593 and 1,594–2,109 bound substantially less eGFP–P, and efficient binding was not restored by combining the two fragments (Fig. 3B). This result suggests that P binding is not simply achieved through a bivalent binding to both termini of L, but requires a tertiary structure that depends on an intact L. Because P binding is an essential requirement for recruitment of L to the N–RNA template, it is not surprising that the two fragments could not complement one another for RNA synthesis. We therefore proceeded to evaluate whether the architecture of L alters upon binding to P.

Architecture of L Alters upon Binding to P. To examine the architecture of a functional L–P complex, we first purified the complex by size exclusion chromatography (Fig. 4A). L [calculated molecular weight (Mwt) = 291 kDa] eluted in a single peak corresponding to ∼120 kDa. This elution profile is likely reflective of the compaction of part of L into the ring domain and indicative that L is mostly a monomer in solution. P (calculated Mwt = 29 kDa), eluted in a single peak corresponding to 134 kDa, indicative both of its elongated structure and oligomerization. Mixing L with a molar excess of P resulted in the formation of a complex containing both L and P that eluted in a peak corresponding to ∼306 kDa, whereas the uncomplexed excess P eluted at 134 kDa. This L–P complex was fully competent for mRNA synthesis in vitro (Fig. 4B).

Like L alone, EM images revealed that the L–P complex consisted of a mixture of single and double particles, but the double particles were much more prevalent for the L–P complex, accounting for approximately one-third of the particle population (Fig S4A). We collected 600/0 tilt pairs of the L–P complex and selected 5,885 particle pairs for the single particles and 2,780 particle pairs for the double particles. The particles from the images of the untitled specimen were classified into 50 classes for the single particles (Fig S4B) and into 20 classes for the double particles (Fig S4C). The approximate dimensions of the single and double species are 313 × 130 × 95 Å and 240 × 180 × 180 Å, respectively. Three-dimensional reconstructions calculated using particles from the images of the tilted specimen were consistent with the features seen in the projection averages but again did not provide further insights (Fig. S2B).

The single species of L–P adopts a general conformation resembling a “6,” where a clamp-like region extends into a curved arm. The rearrangement of L upon binding P has important implications for its function, because it is the L–P complex that is active for RNA synthesis. Although the limited resolution prevented us from de-
deformably assigning regions of L or P to the various structural features of the L–P complex, by comparing the general features of L alone with the L–P complex, we posit the following model. The single species of L–P resembles a subset of averages of uncomplexed L in which the three globules are not discernible (compare averages in the two top rows in Fig. 4C with averages 8–10 in Fig. 1E). This similarity suggests that P binding may orient the domains of the L appendage in a specific conformation and that the visible features of the L–P complex are mostly those of L. Because P has a relatively small mass that is distributed throughout an elongated shape comprising highly flexible regions, it is not surprising that distinctive features of P cannot be discerned. The clamp-like region of the L–P complex (∼90–100 Å) and its stain-accessible center (∼25 Å) are similar in dimensions to those of the L ring domain. We suggest that the two structures are related and that P binding facilitates changes in the ring domain. In this model, the curved arm would represent a rearrangement of the globular domains of the appendage.

Unlike for the double particles of L alone, class averages of the double particles of the L–P complex resolve the entire molecule (bottom row in Fig. 4C), indicating that the dimerization is more specific. The architecture of the individual members of the double species is similar to the single species, suggesting that they represent a higher order organization containing two L–P complexes. The orientation of the particles in each pair is variable but the connection is often close to the position where the appendage connects to the clamp. This is the same position, where the averages of the single species occasionally show an additional globular density (indicated by an arrowhead in Fig. 4C). The association between the two L–P complexes thus appears to be mediated by a molecular bridge. Because P itself is an oligomeric protein, it likely provides the organizing center for the two L molecules. The variable arrangement of the two L molecules is compatible with the presence of known flexible linkers in P connecting the L–binding PNTD to the oligomerization domain.

Discussion

This study provides a view of the molecular architecture of the VSV L protein, maps its different catalytic activities to distinct structural domains, and shows rearrangements in L following complex formation with its cofactor P. The molecular anatomy of L provides insights into the functions of the protein during RNA synthesis, including how the polymerase might engage an encapsidated template and how the various enzymatic activities of L can be coordinated within one multifunctional protein. Furthermore, in combination with the atomic level structures of the N–RNA template and portions of P, the EM images of VSV L now provide an overview of each component of the RNP, offering a framework to understand the functional coordination of the components of this RNA synthesis machine. Because the L proteins of all NNS RNA viruses display a homologous arrangement of the various conserved regions, the structural insights obtained here with VSV will likely apply to those viruses as well. The structural arrangement of L also provides some insights into the evolution of this multifunctional catalytic protein as well as a basis for further structural and functional studies.

Domain Organization of L and Rearrangements on Complex Formation with P

Negative-stain EM of L shows that it always forms a core ring structure with an appendage (Fig. 5A). Deletion analysis showed that, in addition to containing CRIII, which includes the active site of the RdRP, the ring comprises CR −II, and −IV. Although functions have not yet been assigned to CR and −II of VSV L, experiments with Sendai virus implicated CRIII in binding P (18) and CRIV in binding the RNA template (19). The demonstration that CRIV is a component of the RdRP-containing ring domain is consistent with their suggested roles in facilitating RNA synthesis. These CRs, as well as CRIV, seem to play an additional structural role in maintaining the integrity of the ring domain. Whereas many polymerases appear ring-like (15), the ring domain of VSV L shares particular parallels with the structures of the RdRPs of double strand (ds) RNA viruses. Specifically, for dsRNA viruses, the RdRP is enclosed within a large cage-like structure of ∼80 Å built from a 1,100–1,300 amino acid protein (20). The ring-like domain of VSV of ∼90–100 Å is approximately built from the N-terminal 1,114 amino acids of L. Functionally, the cage-like structure provides multiple channels that allow spatial coordination for template entry and RNA product exit in the crowded interior of a dsRNA virus core (20). For VSV L, the ring structure might help the RdRP negotiate the specific constraint of copying an encapsidated template. The stain-admitting center of the L ring is similar in diameter (∼25 Å) to the template entry channel of other RdRPs (15), suggesting that it serves an analogous function. However, the VSV template is markedly different because it is completely coated by N, rendering the RNA bases inaccessible (12) and mandating a transient displacement of
N during RNA synthesis. In a putative model in which the RNA chain could thread through the center of the L ring (or the structurally related clamp-like region of the L–P complex) and N molecules are sequentially dissociated from the RNA, the thickness of the L ring (~50 Å) suffices to accommodate a stretch of RNA released from one or two molecules of N (width of N is ~27 Å). The mechanism of N displacement remains uncertain, but likely involves P, which would interact with the elongating L through its PNTD, and modulate/displace N through its PCTD.

The appendage spans the C terminus of L starting at CRV. Finer mapping of the appendage indicated that CRV containing the capping enzyme likely occupies the globular domain juxtaposed to the ring, and the two distal globules correspond to the MTase provided by CRVI and a structured C-terminal domain of L. A specific function has not yet been assigned to this latter region. Our results also suggest that the variable region between CRV and CRVI provides a flexible hinge between the proximal globule and the two distal globules. This notion is consistent with genetic experiments, in which the function of L was retained upon in-frame insertion of eGFP within this variable region, suggesting that it constitutes a hinge region in L (21).

The L–P complex adopts an overall conformation resembling a “6,” in which a clamp-like region extends into a curved arm (Fig. 5B). In contrast to the organization of L into distinct structural domains, the L–P complex exhibits less separate features. Comparative analysis of the structural organization of L and L–P suggests a putative model, in which the clamp-like region corresponds to the ring domain of L and the curved arm reflects a rearrangement in the appendage. Distinctive features of P are not discerned due to its relatively small mass and elongated shape comprising highly disordered regions. In the dimeric species, two rearranged molecules of L are bridged by an oligomer of P. A higher resolution structure is needed to confirm this model.

Insights into the Regulation of the Enzymatic Activities of the Multifunctional L Protein. The finding that the domains containing the different enzymatic activities of L are rearranged on binding P provides a framework for understanding the regulation of L activities. Detection of the RdRP activity of L in the in vitro reconstituted system is absolutely dependent on the presence of P (4), and attempts to reconstitute RNA synthesis using a non-encapsidated model RNA template have proved unsuccessful (22). Thus, whether P has a direct effect on RdRP activity or whether it is only required to recruit the polymerase to the template is unclear. The results of this study indicate that the role of P is not simply to physically recruit L to the N–RNA template. In the L–P complex, a clearly delineated RdRP ring is no longer discernible. Instead, a clamp-like region of similar dimensions is observed, suggesting that P binding influences the dynamic structural properties of the RdRP-containing region.

Upon binding P, the globular domains visible in L that contain the capping activities are also significantly rearranged. We and others have shown that purified L alone catalyzes the separate reactions of capping and methylation of exogenous synthetic RNA substrates and that P binding does not alter those catalytic properties of L (6, 9). The rearrangement of L on P binding might thus be involved in coordinating the capping activities with ongoing RNA synthesis. For instance, flexibility between the ring and the appendage may allow the repositioning of the capping apparatus such that the nascent RNA chain has access to it during mRNA synthesis, but not during genome replication. Furthermore, because during transcription the capping activities of L influence polymerase elongation (11), the observed rearrangements might facilitate the coordination between the capping apparatus and the RdRP domain.

The observation of dimeric L–P complexes raises the possibility that the functional polymerase may include a higher order L–P complex. Earlier work with Sendai virus has indicated that the polymerase may function as a higher order oligomer, possibly a dimer (23). This conjecture is supported by the complementation of L mutants in a cell-based reconstitution assay for RNA synthesis (24). Therefore, the dimeric L–P complex observed here might be functionally relevant for RNA synthesis. This study, however, cannot unambiguously distinguish which form of the L–P complex is at play during RNA synthesis, because a dynamic equilibrium likely exists between the single and double species.

Evolutionary Implications. The ring domain of VSV L appears similar to other polymerases, which is consistent with the common ancestral origin of all polymerases (15). Appended to that ring domain is a capping machine that contains an unconventional PRNTase domain and a dual specificity MTase domain. The MTase domain shares clear homology with known ribose 2′–O MTases (25), supporting the existence of a common ancestor of CRVI and those proteins. The PRNTase, however, is unique to NNS RNA virus L proteins (6). How the capping activities were coopted within the same protein is uncertain.

The L proteins of NNS RNA viruses are distinguished by the homologous arrangement of CRs in their linear sequence, which suggests that their molecular architecture will likely be similar. In parallel experiments with the L protein of a member of the Arenaviridae family of segmented negative-sense RNA viruses, Mumps virus (MACV) (26, 27), we observe a ring domain similar in dimensions to that of VSV L (26). For MACV, the ring is decorated by a distinct appendage, likely reflective of the cap stealing mechanism used by those viruses to produce capped mRNA (27).

Despite the lack of extensive sequence conservation between the L proteins of MACV and NNS RNA viruses, the similarities between the two structures are striking and suggest a likely evolutionary pathway, in which a common ancestral RdRP was framed in a ring structure onto which distinct capping activities were appended.

Materials and Methods

Protein Expression and Purification. Recombinant L and L fragments were expressed in Sf21 cells, and P was expressed in BL21 (DE3) as described in SI Materials and Methods. N–RNA template, L and P were prepared as previously described (4). L fragments were purified by Ni-Ni-agarose (Qiagen) followed by ion exchange chromatography as described in SI Materials and Methods. For gel filtration experiments, 117 μg of L or 60 μg of P were individually passed through a Superdex 200 HR 10/30 (GE Healthcare) or first mixed together for 1 h on ice. The column was run at 0.25 mL/min and 250–500 fractions were collected. Apparent Mrwts were extrapolated from a standard curve calculated from the elution volumes of a gel filtration standard (Biorad).

Electron Microscopy and Image Processing. Samples were adsorbed to glow-discharged, carbon-coated EM grids and stained with 0.75% (wt/vol) uranyl formate as described (28). The 60°/0° image pairs for full-length L and the L–P complex or only images of unfiled specimens for all of the other samples were collected and processed as described in detail in SI Materials and Methods.

Trypsin Digestion. Lyophilized trypsin (Worthington) was resuspended at 0.5 μg/μl in 1 mM HCl. A total of 5 μg of His-tagged L were mixed with 10 ng trypsin (500:0.1 wt/wt) in 20 μl digestion buffer (50 mM Tris HCl pH 8.8, 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM CaCl2) at 20 °C for 15 min. Digestion was stopped by addition of 2 mM PMFS for 5 min, then boiling in SDS/PAGE loading buffer. Products were separated by 6% SDS/PAGE, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, and blotted with an anti His-tag monoclonal antibody (Clontech).

Ni-Ni Agarose Pulldown Assay. Total cell lysates expressing eGFP–P were prepared and used for coprecipitation of eGFP–P with His-tagged L or P fragments using Ni-Ni agarose beads as described in SI Materials and Methods. The precipitated proteins were separated on duplicate 10% SDS/PAGE gels, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, and blotted with anti-His tag monoclonal antibody or Aequora victoria GFP (A.v. peptide) polyclonal antibody detecting eGFP (BD Biosciences).

RNA Transcription and Cap Analysis. In vitro transcription reactions and cap analysis were essentially performed as previously described (4) with modifications as described in SI Materials and Methods.
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S1 Materials and Methods

Recombinant Protein Expression. Plasmids carrying functional VSV L and P genes were described previously (1). Fragments of L were amplified from the L gene and confirmed by sequencing. A hexa-histidine (6xHis) tag was inserted at the N terminus of L and one set of L fragments (Table S1). In a second set, the L fragments were inserted in frame of a 6xHis-SUMO* tag described in ref. 2 (Table S1). The genes were inserted into pFASTBAC DUAL vector (Invitrogen) under control of the polyhedrin promoter as described previously (3). Recombinant baculovirus (BV) were recovered following transfection of bacmid DNA into Sf21 cells using Cellfectin (Invitrogen). The recombinant baculovirus (BV) were subsequently purified using membranes as described previously (3). Recombinant baculovirus (BV) were vector (Invitrogen) under control of the polyhedrin promoter as described previously (3). Recombinant baculovirus (BV) were recovered following transfection of bacmid DNA into Spodoptera frugiperda Sf21 cells using Cellfectin (Invitrogen). The recombinant BVs were amplified and used to infect Sf21 cells growing in spinner flasks at 1.5 x 10^6 cells/mL at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 3–5. The cells were collected at 65–72 h postinfection. Protein expression was evaluated by Western blot with an anti-His monoclonal antibody (Clontech).

P was cloned downstream of the His tag of a PET–16b vector (Novagen). The plasmid was transformed in BL21 (DE3) Escherichia coli. The cells were grown in LB containing 100 μg/mL ampicillin and induced at A600 of 0.6 with 1 mM isoprropyl β-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at 30 °C for 4 h.

Purification of L Fragments. All fragments were first purified using Ni-NTA agarose chromatography (Qiagen), as described previously for full-length L and eluted in 50 mM NaH2PO4 pH 7.4, 300 mM NaCl, 200 mM Imidazole (3). Peak fractions were diluted in an equal volume of buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.0, 10% glycerol, 1 mM DTT). Fragments 1–1,593, 1–1,114, and 1–860 were individually loaded on a Mono S 5/50 GL column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with buffer A containing 150 mM NaCl. The column was washed with five column volumes (CV) of equilibration buffer and eluted with a 10 CV continuous gradient of 150 mM to 1 M NaCl in buffer A. Fragment 1,594–2,109 was first loaded on a similarly equilibrated Mono Q 5/50 GL column (GE Healthcare) and collected from the flow through, then subsequently purified on the Mono S column as described for the other fragments.

Electron Microscopy Image Collection and Processing. The 60°/0° image pairs for full-length L and the L–P complex or only images of unimected specimens for all of the other samples were collected with a Tecnai T12 electron microscope (FEI) equipped with a LaB6 filament and operated at an acceleration voltage of 120 kV. Images were recorded on imaging plates at a magnification of 67,000x and a defocus of about −1.5 μm using low-dose procedures. Imaging plates were read out with a scanner (DITABIS) using a step size of 15 μm, a gain setting of 20,000, and a laser power setting of 30%; 2 x 2 pixels were averaged to yield a pixel size of 4.5 Å at the specimen level (4). Class averages and 3D reconstructions were calculated using the SPIDER software package (5). BOXER, part of the EMAN software package (6), was used to interactively select particles from images of the L fragments. For the 1–1,593 fragment, 8,874 particles were selected from 112 images and windowed into 64 x 64-pixel images. For the 1,594–2,109 fragment, 12,888 particles were selected from 56 images and windowed into 44 x 44 pixel images. For the 1–1,114 fragment, 15,954 particles were selected from 40 images and windowed into 50 x 50 pixel images. For the 1–860 fragment, 10,518 particles were selected from 80 images and windowed into 50 x 50 pixel images. The particles in the data sets were classified using the SPIDER software package (5). The particles were rotationally and translationally aligned and subjected to 10 cycles of multireference alignment. Each round of multireference alignment was followed by K-means classification into 50 classes. The references used for the first multireference alignment were randomly chosen from the particle images.

For full-length L, 9,905 pairs of particles (8,806 single and 1,099 double particles) were selected from 108 60°/0° tilt pairs using WEB, which is part of the SPIDER software package, windowed into 120 x 120 pixel images, and the particles selected from the untitled specimen were classified into 50 classes (single particles) or 10 classes (double particles) as described above. For the L–P complex, 8,665 pairs of particles (5,885 single and 2,780 double particles) were selected from 217 60°/0° tilt pairs using WEB, windowed into 120 x 120 pixel images, and the particles selected from the untitled specimen were classified into 50 classes (single particles) or 20 classes (double particles) as described above. A total of 44 of the classes for the single species of L and 17 of the classes for the single species of the L–P complex were selected to calculate individual 3D reconstructions using the corresponding particles selected from the tilted specimen. The 3D reconstructions were generated by using the back-projection and angular refinement procedures implemented in SPIDER and visualized using DNG from the OpenStructure framework (7). Selected 3D reconstructions showing the structural features seen in the respective class averages are presented in Fig S2.

In Vitro Transcription. The mRNAs were synthesized in vitro using 5 μg of N–RNA template, 0.5 μg of P, and 1 μg of L or (1 μg of 1–1,593 + 1 μg of 1,594–2,019) or 1.5 μg of the S200 peak fraction for the L–P complex. Reactions were performed in the presence of 1 mM ATP, 0.5 mM CTP, GTP, and UTP; 15 μCi of [α-32P] GTP (PerkinElmer), 30% v/vol rabbit reticulocyte lysates (Promega), 0.05 μg/μL actinomin D (Sigma) in transcription buffer [30 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 33 mM NH4HCl, 50 mM KCl, 4.5 mM Mg(OAC)2, 1 mM DTT, 0.2 mM spermidine, 0.05% Triton X-100]. Reactions included 1 μM of the MTase inhibitor S-adenosyl homocysteine (SAH) (Sigma) where indicated. The products were purified by phenol/chloroform extraction, separated by acid-agarose urea gel electrophoresis as previously described (3), and visualized using a PhosphorImager (GE Healthcare).

Tobacco Acid Pyrophosphatase (TAP) Digestion. A total of 50 ng of purified RNA from in vitro transcription reactions performed in the absence or presence of 1 mM SAH were digested with 1 U of TAP (Epicenter) in 10 μL TAP reaction buffer (50 mM sodium acetate pH 5.0, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol and 0.01% Triton X-100) at 37 °C for 2 h, and the products were analyzed by TLC on PEI-F cellulose sheets (EM Biosciences) using 1.2 M LiCl as solvent as described previously (8). Spots were visualized using a PhosphorImager (GE Healthcare). Markers 7 mpGp and Gp were visualized by UV shadowing at 254 nm.

Preparation of Total Cell Extracts Expressing eGFP-P. Plasmid pGF-P–P was created by cloning the eGFP–P ORF from the previously described recombinant vesicular stomatitis virus (9) into the T7 expression vector pGEM3 (Promega). Confluent 6-cm dishes with 2 x 10^6 BSR-T7 cells were infected at an MOI of 3 with recombinant vaccinia virus (vTF73) expressing T7 RdRP (10). After 1 h, cells were washed and transfected with 3.8 μg pGF–P–P plasmid using Lipofectamine 2000 standard protocol (Invitrogen) and harvested at 24 h. Total cell extracts were prepared by lysing
cells in lysis buffer containing 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS and 10 mM imidazole. The lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 20 min at 4 °C.

Ni-NTA Pulldown Assay. A total of 300 μg of total cell lysates expressing eGFP–P were incubated with 2 μg of 6x His-tagged L or 1–1,593, 1,594–2,109, (2 μg of 1–1,593 + 2 μg of 1,594–2,019 preincubated on ice for 1 h) or 2 μg of the N-terminal domain of anthrax lethal factor as control and 15 μL of Ni-NTA agarose beads (Qiagen) at 4 °C for 1 h. The beads were precipitated by centrifugation at 2,000 × g for 3 min and washed five times with 500 μL of lysis buffer containing 30 mM imidazole. The beads were boiled in 2× SDS/PAGE loading buffer and the proteins were separated by 10% SDS/PAGE.


Fig. S1. Single particle analysis of L. (A) Representative EM image of L in negative stain. (Scale bar: 50 nm.) (B) Class averages of L singles obtained by classification of 8,806 particles into 50 classes. (C) Class averages of L doubles obtained by classification of 1,099 particles into 10 classes. The side length of the individual panels in B and C is 54 nm.
Fig. S2. The 3D reconstructions of L and the L–P complex. Class averages and corresponding 3D reconstructions of (A) L and (B) the L–P complex in negative stain. Different views of each reconstruction are shown rotated by 90° about the horizontal axis as indicated by the arrows. (Scale bar: 10 nm.) The 3D reconstructions are consistent with the respective class averages, revealing the ring-shaped core domain and the appendage. Due to flattening of the particles introduced by the negative staining procedure and the limited resolution of the density maps, potentially due to remaining structural heterogeneity within individual classes of particles, the 3D maps do not provide more information than the projection averages. In particular, the density maps of L and the L–P complex do not have sufficient structural detail to reveal the conformational change that occurs in the appendage of L upon binding of P.
Fig. S3. Single-particle EM analysis of negatively stained L fragments. Left shows representative EM images (Scale bar: 50 nm) and Right shows the 50 class averages for (A) the 1–1,593 fragment, for which the side length of the individual panels is 29 nm, (B) the 1,594–2,109 fragment, for which the side length of the individual panels is 20 nm, (C) the 1–1,114 fragment, for which the side length of the individual panels is 22 nm, and (D) the 1–860 fragment, for which the side length of the individual panels is 22 nm.
Fig. S4. Single-particle EM analysis of negatively stained L–P complex. (A) Representative EM image of the L–P complex with single particles indicated by white circles and double particles by white squares. (Scale bar: 50 nm.) (B) Class averages of single L–P particles obtained after classification of 5,885 particles into 50 classes. (C) Class averages of double L–P particles obtained after classification of 2,780 particles into 20 classes. The side length of the individual panels in B and C is 54 nm.
Table S1. Summary of designed VSV L fragments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>(i)</em> N–His</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–2,109</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–860</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–1,045</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–1,080</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–1,114</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–1,254</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–1,378</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–1,498</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–1,560</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–1,593</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>861–2,109</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,046–2,109</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,594–2,109</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,046–1,593</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,594–1,860</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100–1,593</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>261–1,593</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,255–2,109</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(ii)</em> N–His–SUMO*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194–2,109</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304–2,109</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>341–2,109</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>413–2,109</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>588–2,109</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>599–2,109</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>861–2,109</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>920–2,109</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>941–2,109</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,046–2,109</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,070–2,109</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,332–2,109</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,378–2,109</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,476–2,109</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Full-length L (1–2,109) and fragments were expressed in insect cells as *(i)* N–His-tagged or *(ii)* N–His-SUMO*-tagged. Expression levels are marked from highest (+++) to lowest (−).